

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

Case 3:09-cv-00084-B Document 42 Filed 08/26/2009 Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CLERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
By: *BS*
Deputy

AUG 26 2009

BS
ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

1
1

3-09 CRO084 B

JACK HAMMOND CLAY

1

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO
STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF
MAY BE GRANTED

Now comes Jack Hammond Clay, by special appearance and
States the following:

I Jack Hammond Clay do not believe I have violated
a known legal duty. (i.e., Lawful Obligation Imposed under the
Internal Revenue Code.)

And, the government has only generally implied by
presumption of law, that I Jack Hammond Clay have
Violated a "Known Legal duty" imposed by this title
(which is what the criminal sanctions under 26 U.S.C
§ 7203 are premised) but nowhere, cites, references or,
otherwise identifies, the specific provision of the
Internal Revenue Code that imposed that legal duty,
by or under this title. (i.e., Internal Revenue Code.)

Therefore the court does not have a cognizable
claim, and lacks jurisdiction to grant "Relief".

Respectfully submitted
Jack Hammond, Clay
Jack Hammond Clay